Orthokeratology for Controlling Myopia: Clinical Experiences

Two practitioners obtain results similar to that in the literature for controlling myopia with ortho-k.

By Peter E. Wilcox, OD, & David P. Bartels, OD

For more than 30 years, researchers worldwide have been studying myopia in children and experimenting with modalities to slow or stop its progression. Some of the tactics employed have been unpredictable and, at times, inconvenient.

For example, the use of atropine eye drops is one of the most successful forms of intervention, but the side effects greatly outweigh the outcomes (Gimbel, 1973; Lee et al, 2006). Spectacles with bifocal or progressive lenses have only minimal effect, and they are cumbersome for active children (Paluru et al, 2005; Leung and Brown, 1999). GP lenses worn during the day may slightly reduce myopia progression but at rates that are of limited value to patients (Walline et al, 2004; Katz et al, 2003). More recently, researchers have turned their attention to the potential of orthokeratology to control myopia in children.

Why Ortho-k Shows Promise

Patients undergoing overnight orthokeratology, also known as corneal molding and corneal reshaping, wear custom-made GP contact lenses that reshape the cornea and provide clear unaided vision during the day.

What makes ortho-k a promising treatment for childhood myopia? Researchers feel that hyperopic blur in the equatorial and peripheral retina may be a significant stimulus for increased axial length, which results in myopia progression (Hung et al, 1995; Smith et al, 2005).

Smith and colleagues reported exciting findings and provided intriguing images of axial lengthening (Figure 1) in their 2009 study of monkey eyes, designed to determine whether refractive development in primates is mediated by local retinal mechanisms. They reported:

“The main findings of our study were that hemiretinal form deprivation altered refractive development in a regionally selective manner, typically producing myopia in the treated hemifields of infant monkeys, and that these treatment-induced changes in refractive error were associated with local, region-specific alterations in vitreous chamber depth in the treated hemiretina.”

In our opinion, the clinical significance of this study is powerful, suggesting that eyes may become more...
myopic due to local deprivation of properly focused light. In the case of a myopic eye, conventional spectacles and contact lenses focus light at the fovea with hyperopic defocus in the midperipheral retina. This relative hyperopia may act as a local signal to stimulate axial elongation of the globe. The axial elongation results in a more myopic condition, which, when corrected, recreates the hyperopic midperiphery. The cycle ensues and the eye continues to become more myopic.

It could be theorized that a child who becomes myopic may have had a hyperopic peripheral refractive error that caused the eye to lengthen locally and become myopic. The rationale for the uncorrected myopic eye to progress is that the blur in the macula causes the local lengthening to occur in the macula. Studies are underway to explore these theories.

Interrupting this blur-lengthening cycle may be a key factor in the myopia stabilization effect attributed to orthokeratology.

Following ortho-k treatment for myopia, the typical molded cornea is flattened centrally and remains unchanged outside of the central 7mm or so. The annular zone, located between 5mm and 7mm, must, therefore, be relatively steeper to align with the unaffected cornea outside of the 7mm zone. This steeper zone results in more clearly focused light in the previously hyperopic midperiphery. Thus, the central zone of flattened cornea provides excellent Snellen acuity, and the red ring (Figure 2) may provide stability in the absolute refractive error.

The panretinal stimulation of focused light from orthokeratology may slow myopic eye growth, whereas the spherical and/or aspherical focusing from spectacles and traditional daytime contact lenses is linked with progressing refractive errors from advancing axial lengths. These factors have been the impetus for recent studies of ortho-k for myopia control in children.

**Promising Initial Study Results**

The Longitudinal Orthokeratology Research in Children (LORIC) study (Cho et al, 2005), a two-year pilot study conducted in Hong Kong, suggests that ortho-k can have a corrective and preventive/control effect in childhood myopia. The researchers cautioned, however, that predicting the effect for individual patients is impossible because of substantial variations in eye length changes among children.

In 2007, Walline reported myopia stabilization via ortho-k in the Corneal Reshaping and Yearly Observation of Nearsightedness (CRAYON) two-year results. In a subsequent study, Walline and colleagues (2009) confirmed previous reports of slowed eye growth following corneal reshaping lens wear.

Also in 2007, Eiden and Davis initiated the Stabilization of Myopia by Accelerated Reshaping Technique (SMART) trial, a five-year ortho-k/myopia control study of 300 children, ages 8 through 14. Interim results are being prepared for publication.

**Clinical Findings**

As we followed the literature and read about researchers’ promising results, we began to take a closer look at our own practices, specifically at our young ortho-k patients. Interestingly, we found that our outcomes did more than mirror what the re-
searchers were reporting. Ortho-k seemed to stop the progression of myopic refractive error in our young patients, although other controlled investigations have reported only a slowing (not stopping) of eye growth and unpredictable results for individuals.

We retrospectively evaluated five patient cases ages 9 to 15 who were myopic with or without astigmatism for periods ranging from 19 to 62 months. During this period, their myopic/astigmatic spherical equivalent had progressed by 0.46D per year. Their pretreatment spherical refractive errors ranged from –1.00D to –4.25D, and their cylindrical refractive errors ranged from plano to –1.00DC. Before ortho-k lens fitting, the mean spherical equivalent of the 10 eyes was –2.57D. During their 13 to 37 months of ortho-k wear, these patients either remained in their initial contact lenses or wore duplicate replacements for lost or broken lenses. All five patients achieved 20/20 vision during ortho-k treatment.

We wanted to find out whether there had been any progression in their baseline refractive error. Therefore, we asked them to cease lens wear until their topographies had returned to baseline or for a maximum of 14 days. Because corneal curvature may not return completely to baseline after long-term ortho-k in children (Wu et al, 2009), corneal un-mold- ing was considered complete when patients’ flat simulated K readings were within 0.50D of their pretreatment simulated K readings. A study by Hiraoka and colleagues (2009) confirmed that “the effect of orthokeratology is completely reversible in light of optical quality of the eye and quality of vision as well as refraction and visual acuity.”

During the regression process, we performed non-cycloplegic refractions at three- to seven-day intervals until reversal was complete or 14 days had elapsed. The results showed that the patients had essentially returned to their pretreatment refractive error, as the rate of progression of their spherical equivalent refractive error was only 0.03D per year (Figure 3). These are encouraging outcomes, and we are now designing a study to validate them.

**Topography is Key to Ortho-k Success**

Given the promising results of our pilot study, we will continue to recommend our ortho-k for myopia control program (see “Marketing the CANDY Plan”) and to use the following ortho-k technique.

We fit our ortho-k patients in custom Wave (Wave Contact Lenses) ortho-k lenses. These lenses are software-designed based on topography data, with the Wave Design Software currently optimized for the Keratron family of topographers including the Scout Topographer (EyeQuip), which was used to generate the maps in Figure 1.

Before proceeding with contact lens fitting, we educate and inform all patients and parents about the **Marketing the CANDY Plan**

Reviewing the clinical histories of some of our young orthokeratology patients has not only provided encouraging information about the use of this modality for stopping myopia progression in children, but it has also spawned a successful new internal marketing tool in our practices. Over the past year, we devised the “Controlling Astigmatism and Nearsightedness in Developing Youth” program, better known as the CANDY Plan.

The CANDY Plan introduces the concept of orthokeratology to patients and parents through posters and displays in the office, as well as through videos that play in the reception area. Simple statements and intriguing questions such as: “Would you like to participate in our CANDY Plan?” and “CANDY is good for your child’s vision. Ask us why!” are designed to start a dialogue, and people frequently inquire about the CANDY Plan.

With the conversation started, we discuss the details of orthokeratology. We present our graphic (Figure 3), which helps condense a lengthy conversation into a few moments of targeted communication. The myopia stabilization aspect of the therapy is always of great interest to parents. We present the concept of myopia and astigmatism stabilization through ortho-k as a plan, not a guarantee. We have found, however, that most, if not all, of the children who participate in this process in our practices routinely achieve our predicted goals.

Of course, additional education ensues as needed, but the usually lengthy conversation about an infrequently recognized vision correction and stabilization process is short and sweet—just like candy.
associated pros/cons and risks/rewards of ortho-k treatment. Patients/parents sign the appropriate consent forms once they have read and comprehend the process and the variables. We also inform patients/parents that although the Wave lenses are similar in design and material to FDA-approved lenses, they are in fact used in an off-label manner (although the lenses we use are now approved for overnight wear under FDA guidelines for ortho-k).

Once we decide to pursue orthokeratology, capturing baseline topography is extremely important. Topography data are the foundation for the custom software-designed Wave ortho-k lenses, so patience and thoroughness are imperative for precise image capture. The lens material used for these patients was Boston XO (Bausch + Lomb). Other considerations when designing an accurate ortho-k contact lens include: refractive error, horizontal visible iris diameter, pupil size, pachymetry, tear quality, and interpupillary fissure opening. We electronically submit the Wave corneal mold design data to the lab’s CN lathe to manufacture each unique contact lens.

At the dispensing visit, we teach patients proper lens care and handling, including how to apply and remove the lenses. After this training, we apply the lenses and measure unaided visual acuities. Then we have patients recline with their eyes closed for 20 to 30 minutes. At the end of that time, we measure visual acuities and assess the fit with both white light and fluorescein (Figure 4). We then remove the lenses, measure unaided visual acuities and perform topography.

Our patients and their parents have been satisfied with this experience. Any doubt or resistance disappears as the unaided visual acuities improve after lens removal, despite the brief period of closed-eye wear. We’ve had patients with unaided visual acuity of 20/200 read the 20/40 line after this brief wearing time. We then ask patients to initiate nighttime wear. We’ve found that they routinely enjoy great unaided vision after their first night of wear. Repeating the nighttime wearing process provides them with daytime non-surgical freedom from glasses and contact lenses. We typically schedule follow-up visits at one week, one month, and six months, scheduling any additional visits as needed.

**Conclusion**

Many clinicians are familiar with the benefits of orthokeratology for adults. A significant added value of orthokeratology for young patients, which is supported by a growing number of initial studies, is the possible reduced rate of myopic progression. A recent study (Vitale et al, 2009) reported a 66 percent increase in myopia prevalence over a recent 30-year period. These facts address one of the oldest concerns that parents have held for their children: how to keep their eyes from getting worse every year. We may now have an answer. Learn it, promote it, and provide it. CLS
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